HOST ROCKS, ALTERATION MINERALOGY, AND MINERALIZATION **STYLES OF VMS-TYPE DEPOSITS IN THE SERRA NORTE AND SERRA** SUL REGIONS, CARAJÁS PROVINCE, BRAZIL ON MINERAL EXPLORATION



Kamila Gomes Fernandes^{1 (kamila.gomes.f@gmail.com)}, Carolina Penteado Natividade Moreto¹, Gustavo Henrique Coelho de Melo²,



Sime

EXPLORAÇÃO MINERAL

Roberto Perez Xavier³, Fernando Matos⁴, Ezequiel Pozocco⁴

¹Universidade Estadual de Campinas, ²Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, ³Agência para o Desenvolvimento e Inovação do Setor Mineral Brasileiro, ⁴Vale S.A.

VALE

INTRODUCTION

The first major metallogenic epoch ca. 2.76-2.74 Ga in the Carajás Mineral Province (CMP), southeastern Amazonian Craton, is marked by the formation of giant syngenetic iron and manganese deposits. Minor copper-zinc mineralization formed by processes similar to those of massive volcanogenic sulfide (VMS) deposits has also been recognized and attributed to that epoch. This is the case for the N1, N4WS, and GT-57 deposits as well as the S11D deposit which are located in the Serra Sul regions of the CMP, respectively. Detailed core description was carried out to evaluate the host rocks, alteration zones, as well as ore assemblages and styles in these deposits.

Sn1 FD00444 Sn1 FD00435 Sn1 FD00428 N4WS-1491 145.15 m andesitic basal / v v Pv associated with quartz in matri

RESULTS





Serra Sul	Serra Norte			
SSD	N1	N4WS	GT-57	
Banded iron formation (magnetite facies),	Andesitic basalt,	Andesitic basalt,	Fragmental rock,	
Black shale,	Banded iron formation (magnetite facies)	Jaspilite (hematite facies)	Andesitic basalt	
Jaspilite (magnetite facies)	Jaspilite (magnetite facies)			
Absent	Sericitization, Chloritization, Silicification, Hematitization	Chloritization, Sericitization, Carbonatic Propylitic	Argillic, sericitization, Silicification, Chloritization, Carbonatic propylitic	
Minor pyrite disseminated along the layering of black shales	Minor chalcopyrite and pyrite disseminated in silicified zone	Sphalerite-pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite rich breccias hosted into jaspilite	Pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite-pyrite- magnetite-galena-rich breccias hosted into andesitic basalt	
	Minor chalcopyrite along siderite veins	Pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite-pyrite- magnetite-sphalerite-galena-rich		
	Serra Sul SSD Banded iron formation (magnetite facies), Black shale, Jaspilite (magnetite facies) Absent Minor pyrite disseminated along the layering of black shales	Serra SulSSDN1Banded iron formation (magnetite facies), Black shale,Andesitic basalt,Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Banded iron formation (magnetite facies)Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Jaspilite (magnetite facies)AbsentSericitization, Chloritization, Silicification, HematitizationMinor pyrite disseminated along the layering of black shalesMinor chalcopyrite and pyrite disseminated in silicified zone Minor chalcopyrite along siderite yeins	Serra SulSerra NorteSSDN1N4WSBanded iron formation (magnetite facies), Banded iron formation (magnetite facies), Banded iron formation (magnetite facies)Andesitic basalt, Jaspilite (hematite facies)Black shale, Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Jaspilite (hematite facies)Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Jaspilite (magnetite facies)Sericitization, Chloritization, Silicification, Silicification, HematitizationChloritization, Carbonatic PropyliticMinor pyrite disseminated along the layering of black shalesMinor chalcopyrite and pyrite disseminated in silicified zoneSphalerite-pyrrhotite-chalcopyrite- magnetite-sphalerite-galena-rich	

[A] Matrix of fragmental rock intensely chloritized displaying lithoclasts of basalt with preserve plagioclase phenocrysts (GT57-FD01/68.74m). [B] Matrix of andesitic basalt displaying subophitic arrangement and aureoles of hornblende around augite (N4WS-1491/153.12m). [C] Fine lamination in jaspilite composed of hematite (I) and jasper (N4WS-1491/509.08). [D] Spherulites in jasper layer in jaspilite (N4WS-1491/509.08m). [E] Basalt amygdala filled with from the center to the border by glass, smectite, and zeolite (GT-57 FD01/304.4m). [F] Strongly brecciated basalt displaying chloritization superposed by silicification (fibrous chalcedony) with focus on red sphalerite to the center (GT-57 FD01/420.8m). [G] Relict fragment of basalt completely altered by fibrous smectite immerse in glass and chlorite rich groundmass (GT-57 FD01/116.1m). [H] Chamositeclinochlore rich matrix in carbonatic propylitic alteration zone (GT-57 FD01/116.1m). [I] Sphalerite and pyrrhotite disseminated in calcite-magnetite-quartz rich zone in brecciated basalt (GT-57 FDO1/483.15m). [J] Red sphalerite intergrown with with magnetite and carbonate in chloritized and silicified fragment of andesitic basalt (GT57-FD01/420m). [K] Sphalerite II in carbonate-rich matrix of brecciated andesitic basalt (GT57-FD01/420m). [L] Square pyrite crystals associated with ankeritecalcite rich matrix (GT57-FD01/411m). [L] Close detail of pyrite crystals in breccia matrix (GT57-FD01/420m).

Host rocks are represented by the intercalation of fragmental rocks, andesitic basalt, and banded iron formation in these deposits. The Serra Sul deposit occur in settings with pyrite-bearing black shales overlying dominantly magnetite-rich banded iron formation (BIF). The latter contains magnetite-rich matrixsupported to clast-supported breccias, and subordinate intercalations of magnetite-rich jaspilite.

The alteration types observed for the VMS deposits are observed at with distal local hematite and sericite alteration (~40 to 200 m) and proximal argillic and chloritized zones (< 40 m). However, the main ore stage is associated with the precipitation of variably Mn and Fe-rich carbonate that cement the matrix of

			preccias nostea into anaesitic pasan			
Distinctive features	 Matrix-supported magnetite-rich breccia (BIF); Absence of mineralization and hydrothermal alteration 	 Greenalite-rich levels; Abundance of siderite veinlets and veins 	 High sulfide content; Lower degree of alteration (sericitization > chloritization > carbonatic propylitic) 	 The presence of fragmental rock unit; Rhodochrosite-rich alteration zone (carbonatic propylitic); Higher sulfide content; Higher degree of alteration (chloritization > carbonatic propylitic > sericiticitization); 	breccias hosted into ch of a carbonate-rich (d assemblage of ma cobaltite. Magnetite is and makes up betwee	nloritized andesitic basalts. The mineralized breccias consist calcite-ankerite-rhodochrosite) matrix intergrown with an agnetite-pyrrhotite-pyrite-chalcopyrite-sphalerite-galena- s xenomorphic, granular and fine-grained (50 to 800 µm) on 5 to almost 30% of the volume. AGKNOWLEDGEMIENTS

Pyrrhotite is hypidiomorphic to xenomorphic and granular, varying in size between 50 and 7000 µm, and occupies up to 20%. Pyrite is idiomorphic and makes up to 10% in volume of the breccia matrix, where it commonly occurs in square and triangular sections of 100 to 1300 μ m in size. Sphalerite grains up to 100 µm in size occupies up to 3% in volume of the breccia matrix and contain high contents of Mn and inclusions of galena. Cobaltite only occurs as trace amount in association with pyrrhotite.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The fragmental unit here identified matches the description of rocks previously described at the Igarapé Bahia deposit which have been attributed either to syndepositional faulting (Dreher & Xavier, 2001; Dreher et al., 2005) or later hydrothermal activity (Tavaza & Oliveira, 2000; Tallarico et al., 2005). Detailed petrographical analysis and drill core relationships at GT-57 revealed the gradual contact between undoubtely mineralized brecciated basalt and pervasively and strongly altered fragmental rock unit.

This indicates that the so-called fragmental rock unit at GT-57 could instead result from the infiltration of seawater and progressive replacement of primary assemblage to the extent that the andesitic basalt of the Parauapebas Formation was barely recognizable.

The alteration zones and respective gangue minerals textures at the studied deposits are consistente with diagnostic assemblages for unmetamorphosed deposits as mainly demonstrated by the presence of lower temperature (150-400 °C) minerals, such as chalcedony and smectite (Bonnet & Corriveau, 2007; Shanks et al., 2012).

The studied deposits can be lithologically classified as back-arc mafic VMS deposits (Galley et al., 2007) or formerly Besshi type (Cox & Singer, 1986) on the basis of the dominant basic nature of the magmatism and the previously back-arc settings (Silva et al., 2020) interpreted for the formerly Carajás Basin, which is also corroborated by the presence of Algoma-type BIFs (Justo et al., 2020).

The first author acknowledges CAPES for research funds for a PhD's scholarship as well as the authors also acknowledge Vale S.A. for logistics support during field work and project funding.

REFERENCES

Costa UAP et al. 2016. Programa Geologia do Brasil-PGB. Mapa de integração geológico-geofísica da ARIM Carajás. Estado do Pará, Belém: CPRM, Escala 1:250.000.

- Bonnet AL, and Corriveau, L. 2007 Alteration vectors to metamorphosed hydrothermal systems in gneissic terranes, in Goodfellow, W.D., ed., Mineral deposits of Canada—A synthesis of major deposittypes, district metallogeny, the evolution of geological provinces, and exploration methods: Geological Association of Canada, Mineral Deposits Division, Special Publication, v. 5, p. 1035–1049.
- Dreher AM et al. 2005. Fragmental rocks of the Igarapé Bahia Cu-Au deposit, Carajás Mineral Province, Brazil: Revista Brasileira de Geociências, v. 35, p. 359-368.
- Galley AG et al. 2007. Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits: Mineral deposits of Canada: a synthesis of major deposit-types, district metallogeny, the evolution of geological provinces, and exploration methods, v. 5, p. 141-161.
- Justo AP et al. 2020. Paleobasinal to band-scale REE+ Y distribution in iron formations from Carajás Amazon Craton, Brazil: Ore Geology Reviews, v. 127, 103750.
- Silva RCF et al. 2020. Geotectonic signature and hydrothermal alteration of metabasalts under-and overlying the giant Serra Norte iron deposits, Carajás mineral Province: Ore Geology Reviews, v. 120, 103407.
- Shanks III WP et al. 2012. Volcanogenic massive sulfide occurrence model: chapter C in mineral deposit models for resource assessment (No. 2010-5070-C). US Geological Survey.
- Tallarico FHB et al. 2005. Geology and Shrimp U-Pb geochronology of the Igarapé Bahia deposit Carajás Copper-Gold belt, Brazil: an Archean (2.57 Ga) example of iron-oxide Cu-Au-(U-REE) mineralization: Economic Geology, v. 100, p. 7-28.
- Tazava E & Oliveira CG. 2000. The Au-Cu-(REE-U) Igarapé Bahia deposit, Carajás, Brazil. In: Porter, T.M. (ed.) Hydrothermal iron oxide copper-gold & related deposits a global perspective: Australian Mineral Foundation, p. 203-212.